



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,085                       | 10/04/2005  | Matthias Fehr        | EIS-1097/500593.20090 | 4150             |
| 26418 7590 11/07/2008            |             |                      |                       |                  |
| REED SMITH, LLP                  |             |                      |                       |                  |
| ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT  |             |                      |                       |                  |
| 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR |             |                      |                       |                  |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650          |             |                      |                       |                  |
| EXAMINER                         |             |                      |                       |                  |
| LU, ZHIYU                        |             |                      |                       |                  |
| ART UNIT                         |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                       |                  |
| 2618                             |             |                      |                       |                  |
| MAIL DATE                        |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                       |                  |
| 11/07/2008                       |             | PAPER                |                       |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action  
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

**Application No.**

10/552,085

**Applicant(s)**

FEHR ET AL.

**Examiner**

ZHIYU LU

**Art Unit**

2618

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  
Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.  
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.  
Claim(s) rejected: 28-36.  
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet.  
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
13. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Duc Nguyen/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding rejections on claims 28, 30-31, 33 and 35, applicant argued that AAPA and Courtney do not disclose the antenna and circulator or isolator being in a common housing and the antenna unit can be plugged in or screwed on as a replaceable unit.

However, the Examiner does not agree. AAPA teaches a wireless microphone that has an antenna and a circulator or isolator. Courtney discloses that that an antenna (36 of Fig. 2) and an isolator (24 of Fig. 2) are connected as interchangeable/replaceable components in the same dash-lined area (Fig. 2). Though Courtney does not literally indicate that the antenna and isolator are in a common housing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to put the antenna and isolator in a common housing since they are connected as shown in the common dash-lined area in Fig. 2 of Courtney for the convenience of replacing narrowband cell components as a whole. As for the argument on whether the antenna unit can be plugged in or screwed, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use known techniques of securing component such as plugged in or screwed to secure the interchangeable components to the fixed components in the apparatus of Courtney. So, the combination of AAPA and Courtney would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the convenience of replacing interchangeable components as a whole with securing physical connection to the wireless microphone. Applicant's claimed invention lacks substantial feature(s) that distinct itself from the prior arts and known techniques.

Thus, the rejections are proper and maintained..

Zhiyu Lu  
(571)272-2837